

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/494,278	01/30/2000	Bill J. Pope	6061 P 3224		
7590 07/30/2004			EXAMINER		
DANIEL P. MCCCARTHY			ISABELLA, DAVID J		
PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 1800 P. O. BOX 45898			3738		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0898			DATE MAILED, 07/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W			
		Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)				
		09/494,278	PO	PE ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit				
		DAVID J ISABEL						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the corre	spondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory min will apply and will expire a. cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely fil imum of thirty (30) days will I SIX (6) MONTHS from the m become ABANDONED (35	ed be considered timely ailing date of this co U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	N⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 May 2004.							
2a)⊠	,	action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me								
	closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, '	1935 C.D. 11, 453 U	.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 and 31-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28,31-55,64 and 65 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 29,56-63,66 and 67 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objection of colors of cepted or b) objection is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 e drawing(s) is objecte	CFR 1.85(a). ed to. See 37 Cl				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Interview Summary (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Paten Other:	·	D-152)			

Art Unit: 3738

Status of the claims

Claims 1-29,31-67 are pending in the application. Claims 1-28 were indicated to be allowable over the art of record.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 29,56-63,66 and 67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 5645601 in view of Turchan et al (5,554,415) and Bunting, et al (5127923).

Pope, et al discloses a prosthetic joint having first and second members with a fixation portion and a load bearing/articulation portion. The joint includes a volume of diamond on the load bearing/articulation portion. Pope, et al discloses a prosthetic joint having first and second members with a fixation portion and a load bearing/articulation portion. The joint includes a volume of diamond on the load bearing/articulation portion.

Application/Control Number: 09/494,278 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Note, Pope, et al discloses that the polycrystalline materials can be bonded to the substrate according to known methods as seen in prior patents 3745623, 3767371, 3871840, 3841852, 3913280, 4311490 where it is known to use solvent catalyst in bonding application of the polycrystalline to the substrate. Turchan, et al teaches a method for coating a substrate with PCD via sintering. Additionally, Turchan, et al provides for a transition zone and the feature of a mechanical grip. Pope, et al is silent to specific methods to achieve a PCD coating on a substrate. Pope, et al describes that the PCD layer could be bonded to the joint surfaces by any satisfactory method including different methods for different surfaces. Turchan, et al outlines various known methods to provide for a PCD layer on surgical items including sintering. Moreover, Turchan, et al describes the provision of a gradient between the substrate and the PCD and the use of mechanical grip on the substrate to provide more effective bonding of the PCD (see columns 23 and 24). Pope, et al defines the broad concept of cooperating surfaces of a prosthetic joint being provided with a coating of PCD. This coating provides excellent tribiological properties to the prosthesis. Pope, et al fails to disclose specific methods for providing the coating but states that those skilled in the art could use any known methods. Turchan, et al provides the specifics to the arrays of methods available to those skilled in the art including sintering. In light of the teachings of Turchan, et al, the joint/coating combination of Pope, et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art based upon engineering considerations. The specifics to the chemical bonds, mechanical grip, topographical features, stress field, Ra value,

polishing, specific orthopedic structure for bone applications, materials and substrate layers are fully disclosed in the patent to Turchan, et al.

With respect to the amendment to claim 29 to include a solvent-catalyst metal, Bunting et al teaches the use of solvent-catalyst metal to aid in the process for forming a solid body. If not inherent in Pope, et al or Turchan, et al to use a solvent-calalyst metal to aid in the forming of the abrasive resistant surface would have been obvious from the teachings of Bunting et al.

With respect to claim 56, the newly added limitation to the thermal expansion of the PCD and the substrate would inherent be different, since the substrate and the PCD are materially different.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim1-28, 31-55,64 and 65 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner agrees with applicant's arguments that the priority chain to earlier patent 5645601 has been established.

Therefor the rejections under 35USC 102 and 35USC 103 have been withdrawn. The claims have been rejected under non-statutory double patenting.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Application/Control Number: 09/494,278 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3580 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DAVID J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

dji July 28, 2004